UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HELENE MARIE LEE,	Case No. 1:20-cv-00700-SKO
Plaintiff,	**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND STAYING CASE**
v.	
ANDREW M. SAUL, Commissioner of Social Security,	(Doc. 5)
Defendant.	
_____/	

ORDER

Plaintiff Helene Marie Lee filed a complaint on May 15, 2020, along with an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 on May 17, 2020.[1] (Docs. 1, 5.) Plaintiff's application demonstrates entitlement to proceed without prepayment of fees.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1.   Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is GRANTED;

2.   The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue a summons;

3.   The United States Marshal is DIRECTED to serve a copy of the complaint, summons, and this order upon the defendant as directed by the plaintiff;

4.   The parties are hereby notified that, <u>after service of the complaint, this action is STAYED pursuant to General Order No. 615</u>, and there will be no scheduling order or deadlines in effect during the stay. *See* E.D. Cal. G.O. 615. The stay will be

---

[1] Plaintiff also filed a subsequent request to proceed in forma pauperis on June 10, 2020, but it does not include Plaintiff's name in the caption, bears the incorrect court, and is unsigned. (*See* Doc. 10.) In view of the Court granting Plaintiff's May 17, 2020, request (Doc. 5), the subsequent request (Doc. 10) is DENIED as MOOT.

1   automatically lifted when the defendant files the certified copy of the administrative

2   record, with no further order of the Court; and

3   5.   The Clerk is DIRECTED to issue the Consent/Decline forms only, in addition to the

4   summons. Pursuant to General Order No. 615, no other case documents will issue.

5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7

8 Dated: **September 21, 2020**   /s/ *Sheila K. Oberto*

                               UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28